This affidavit was used/presented in court to Justice Ward Branch & was evidence presented to the Canadian Judicial Council(Federal Government) to expose Justice Branch's questionable conduct & the horrors that take place & or are facilitated by the highly questionable "for profit" family law system in Canada.

FORM F30

(RULE 10-4 (2) AND (7))

This is the 1st affidavit

of [redacted]

in this case and was made on

No. E33789 1

New Westminster Registry

In the Supreme Court of British Columbia

Claimant [redacted]

Respondent(s) [redacted]

AFFIDAVIT

I, [redacted]

SWEAR (OR AFFIRM) THAT:

1 I am the Respondent herein and as such have personal knowledge of the facts and matters deposed to, save and except where stated to be on informed and belief and where so stated, I verily believe it to be true.

2 We have two children, [redacted] 2007; and [redacted] 2004 (collectively, our "children"). Currently 12 1/2 & 15 1/2 years old respectively. Ms [redacted] has employed lawyers & used the courts as a weapon since 2009 to relentlessly attack Mr [redacted] Mr [redacted] has been unsophisticated enough to mount a proper defense & offence. Ms [redacted] has used Mr [redacted] & the Children as her punching bag since 2009 with what amounts to near impunity.

3 In approximately 2009, [redacted] highly advised Mr [redacted] apply for something called a Section 15 on Ms [redacted] but he could not afford the expense. Mr [redacted] was told a Section 15 was to diagnose or look into Ms [redacted] mental well-being. Lawyer [redacted] told Mr [redacted] she had a degree in psychology & was married to a Provincial Court judge in Port Coquitlam & saw many concerning traits in Ms [redacted] At this time Ms [redacted] was openly telling people that Mr [redacted] was no longer the dad of the Children because of her interpretation of the Catholic religion. Ms [redacted] s family was caught on audio saying such.

4 Ms [redacted] caused Mr [redacted] injury using perjury.
On June 25, 2019 Ms [redacted] approached the court with malicious intent to emotionally & financially harm Mr [redacted]. Ms [redacted] tried to encourage the court to force Mr [redacted] pay $5000.00 per parental access visitation. Ms [redacted] used outright lies & deception throughout her affidavit, claiming 2 times in her affidavit & notice of claim that she "won custody in a trial" & that Mr [redacted] kept the Children for too long of a time period. (exhibit 1) There was no trial & Mr [redacted] only reluctantly gave up sole custody of his sons after years of emotional & financial bullying by Ms [redacted] Ms [redacted] manipulated facts to paint a untrue picture of Mr [redacted] (exhibit 2). Although Judge R W Jenkins ruled mostly in Mr [redacted] favor this day, Ms [redacted] pulled the wool over the court's eyes to a large degree due to Judge R W Jenkins having to hurry off to a criminal trial & giving Mr [redacted] less than 20 minutes to present his case.

After a similar attack in 2012 upon Mr [redacted] by Ms [redacted] in front of Master Muir & then Justice Brown, Justice Brown seized himself of the case, but then un-seized himself because he moved off to the Chilliwack, BC court system. Ms [redacted] has capitalized with damaging lies & deception designed to cause untold emotional & financial harm to Mr [redacted]. Mr [redacted] proved Ms [redacted] claims where false, but was left emotionally & financially harmed. Justice Brown made an [redacted] of "contempt of court" against Ms [redacted] (exhibit 3)

Ms [redacted] caused Mr [redacted] injury using perjury.
In 2012, Ms [redacted] approached the courts and knowingly made a false claim that Mr [redacted] had attempted a child abduction of his oldest son from his school. Ms [redacted] had been fully aware (via email & verbally a few days prior) that Mr [redacted] had informed her that he was taking his oldest son to a pediatric doctor's appointment. This malicious conduct by Ms [redacted] had effects that can only be described as near catastrophic and nearly took Mr [redacted] life. Ms [redacted] was found in [redacted] (see exhibit 3) but Ms [redacted] still openly laughed at Mr [redacted] in the court hallway afterwards.
Mr [redacted] is still owed $1000.00 court costs for the second court date from Ms [redacted] as Judge Brown told Ms [redacted] to pay costs. Mr [redacted] was blindsided by the mean-spirited false claim by Ms [redacted] and had two court appearances regarding this matter. Mr [redacted] only recovered costs for one day of court & received nothing for the untold emotional harms.

5 Ms [redacted] caused injury.
The Children suffer from extreme dental neglect & hygiene issues caused by [redacted] that includes over 40 cavities, 2 root canals & at least one life threatening abscess & will now need many operations & procedures that include an extremely painful gum graph to repair damage. (see exhibit 4 & 5) (also see evidence booklet on Children's teeth). The Children suffer from the uppermost "preventable dental disease" a Canadian child can suffer.

Ms [redacted] has refused every request since 2009 that Mr [redacted] has made to bring his children to a doctor or dentist & Mr [redacted] has good reason to fear that Ms [redacted] will again claim child abduction if Mr [redacted] tries to take his children to a dentist, general practitioner, pediatrics or counseling. (exhibit 6)

The oldest child suffers from permanent bi-lateral [redacted] that could have been mitigated. Ms [redacted] would often give the children "free days" of not brushing their teeth and encourage the oldest child not to [redacted] required [redacted] & not do the at home physiotherapy exercises prescribed by the [redacted] surgeon at Children's Hospital.

Ms [redacted] bragged of her power over the children and how Mr [redacted] had no say in the Children's [redacted] Ms [redacted] refused to get the Children [redacted] for no other reason than to prove a point of her position of power.

6 5) Mr [redacted] has made over 100 requests in writing to Ms [redacted] to allow Mr [redacted] to switch his weekend access and allow for his work schedule, family health issues & deaths in the family so he & his extended family can/could keep a strong relationship with his children. Ms [redacted] has refused every single request except for one day in the last ten years as she minimizes his parental access.

Ms [redacted] has made numerous requests to Ms [redacted] to pick his children up at their schools on his weekends, which Ms [redacted] has refused all requests as she minimizes Mr [redacted] access. Both Children have repeatedly requested that Mr [redacted] pick them up directly from school over the years. Ms [redacted] demands Mr [redacted] follow a rigid non flexible schedule based on her arbitrary interpretation of a 10 year old court order Mr [redacted] had to ask the courts for after he was getting almost no parental access from Ms [redacted] (re : exhibit 6) Re: 2012 Ms [redacted] falsely claims attempted child abduction to prevent Mr [redacted] from taking his son to a pediatrician.

7 6) Over the last ten years, Mr [redacted] has repeatedly pleaded with the mom to let him see his children more often. The mom has refused all requests except for one day in ten years. (the mom did demand Mr [redacted] forgo two access days during Christmas holidays for that single day) Both Mr [redacted] & Ms [redacted] both agree that Mr [redacted] only sees his children an average of 2 or 3 days a month, and has gone over five months without seeing his children. Ms [redacted] admits in her own affidavit that Mr [redacted] only "sees his children every 4 to 6 weeks". Ms [redacted] deploys a rigid parental access schedule where she has arbitrarily marked every second weekend on her calendar for the past years as "her weekend" and no matter Mr [redacted] work schedule, family deaths, family sickness, road closures or such, she flatly refuses to accommodate Mr [redacted] requests for parental access outside her arbitrary line.

Ms [redacted] intentionally & knowingly (mis)uses old court orders as a weapon to deny & minimize Mr [redacted] parental access & prevent Mr [redacted] from providing the children with access to doctors(exhibit 8) Ms [redacted] is fully aware that she can provide Mr [redacted] access to his children that is outside the old court orders, as she has ratified access outside the order on one occasion some years back when she granted Mr [redacted] a day of access as long as he gave up two days of his access over New Years.

8 9) The mom has committed perjury in her newest affidavit & notice of claim (dated May 16, 2019) as follows:
a) "The mom claims that "after trial" Fisher ordered her sole custody of the children." The mom claimed in her affidavit she was granted sole custody by trial.....This is a bold-faced lie and only after I invested approximately $80,000 in the mom's litigious attacks & stalling tactics that I felt hopeless & was bullied into settling for "joint guardianship". Mr [redacted] was emotionally and financially broken and felt he had no choice but to give into the Ms [redacted] demands of "sole custody" & over half our marital assets. When Mr [redacted] pushed send on that email in which he told the mom she could have sole custody, it was the saddest day of his life.

b) In 2012, Master Muir ordered restraining orders on Mr [redacted] based off Ms [redacted] false & malicious testimony & false claim of attempted child abduction. After Mr. Justice Brown reversed Master Muir's ruling and warned the mom & her lawyer Andrew [redacted], the mom laughed at Mr [redacted] openly in the court hallway. Both the Children's school & [redacted] Corporation have been shown misinformation by Ms [redacted] as she flaunts and cherry picks from old court orders to cause Mr [redacted] maximum harm.

c) " The mom claims in her affidavit that "the children have expressed to her that they do not wish to increase their time with their father".... This is a complete malicious fabrication & untrue. I showed my oldest son (with my youngest son also involved in the conversation) the mom's wording (line 20) in her affidavit in late 2019. Both my children said that Ms [redacted] claims are not true. To the contrary, Mr [redacted] sons expressed a want for more time with him. Mr [redacted] believes the mom suffers from mental illness to misrepresent his children's love & want to see their dad. Stats Canada claims that 1 in 7 Canadians suffers from mental illness in their life-time.

9 12) Mr [redacted] Children grandmother (Mr [redacted] mom, Colleen) was diagnosed with ALS (Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis) two years ago & quickly became too sick to travel. Due to Ms [redacted] parental alienation, Mr [redacted] Children never saw their grandchildren again after being diagnosed with this terminal illness. The Children's grandmother died on April 15, 2019.

10 On December 9, 2019, the new dentist (Dr Herbert [redacted] from [redacted] Dental said that the Children ([redacted] & [redacted]) have the worst dental disease & decay history he has ever seen in children (from reading the Children's dental notes).

11 [redacted]

12 Mr [redacted] unfortunately had to report Dr [redacted] Dental to the regulatory board (CDSBC) & provide copies of the various court orders to gain access to a copy of the dental visit of his son. Ms [redacted] is very intelligent and has fired doctors & hired doctors based on how she can manipulate them. Upon Dr [redacted] refusing Mr [redacted] any information on his Children's dental health over a period of a decade, Mr [redacted] finally was provided dental notes only after involving the dental regulatory body called the College of Dental Surgeons (CDSBC). Mr [redacted] was horrified at the extent of dental decay and that page after page of the dental notes had a caption at the top indicating "Mr & Ms [redacted] are divorced".
Mr [redacted] fully believes that Dr [redacted] has taken full advantage of Ms [redacted] mental illness & dental hygiene neglect to capitalize financially. Mr [redacted] has written to the CDSBC board and the Health Professional Review Board on this matter.

13 Ms [redacted] has spent copious amounts of money on various lawyers & the courts with intent to cause harm to Mr [redacted] Ms [redacted] enjoys annual lavish trips to Whistler, Bridal Falls & Osoyoos. Ms [redacted] has been a well paid union Telus employee for over 20 years. Ms [redacted] has repeatedly demanded Mr [redacted] sign consent for a passport for the Children so she can take them on trips to the USA & Germany. Ms [redacted] purchased a 2019 Toyota SUV and is about to inherit a one million dollar home in Vancouver, BC. [redacted] has great concern that Ms [redacted] will step up her family court harassment by employing more powerful lawyers, as opposed to looking after the Children's medical conditions.
The Children are in need of various cosmetic dentistry, gum graph & braces of which Mr [redacted] has convinced the Children they don't need.

Upon Mr [redacted] finding out just how serious & in-depth the Children's dental situation is, Mr [redacted] showed his Children a letter from Dr [redacted] (Exhibit 5), of which both Children expressed that Ms [redacted] had told them everything was completely normal with their dental health. Upon reading the letter from Dr [redacted] dated October 28, 2019, both children were stunned & upset at being mislead.

14 Mr [redacted] has asked Ms [redacted] to modify his summer access schedule over 20 times throughout the years, of which Ms [redacted] has refused. When Mr [redacted] decided to keep the children for seven days plus his weekend, which equaled nine days in a row over the summer holidays, Ms [redacted] approached the courts and arrogantly demanded Mr [redacted] pay her $5000.00 cash for all further parental access.
Ms [redacted] found a lawyer named Rebecca [redacted] after Andrew Lau [redacted] to work for her anymore. (Re: 2012 attempted child abduction scandal). When Mr [redacted] was served by Ms [redacted] regarding the $5000.00 pay for access scheme, Mr [redacted] phoned Rebecca Stanley to find out what this claim was regarding

and try and fix the issue before using the courts time. Rebecca [redacted] told Mr Roy that it is "only $5000.00" and minimized the attack upon Mr [redacted] before hanging up on him. When Mr [redacted] went to court to defend himself, Rebecca [redacted] was not in court representing Ms [redacted] Mr [redacted] will be filing a complaint to the BC Law Society regarding Rebecca Stanley's conduct of not controlling her client & hanging up on Mr Roy.

Fortunately Mr [redacted] won most of his case this day in court, but was left traumatized & out of pocket for two days hotel, two days missed work & [redacted] Mr [redacted] tried to explain to the court that Ms [redacted] had misrepresented many facts and lied in her affidavit, but the judge (R W Jenkins) was in a hurry and said he had to go off to a criminal proceeding and his court was backed up this day.

The court notes from this day show that the judge granted Mr [redacted] 9 days in a row for the upcoming summer holidays, which was exactly what Ms [redacted] had come to the court to complain about when Mr [redacted] had kept his children for 9 days in a row the previous summer. (7 days summer access attached to 2 days of weekend access)

[redacted]

15 On January 27, 2020, Ms [redacted] was informed by Mr [redacted] in an email that one of the children [redacted] had been referred by a general practice doctor to a pediatric specialist appointment. Ms [redacted] demanded Mr [redacted] cancel this appointment. (exhibit 6)

Both Children have presented with unexplained health issues over the years of which Ms [redacted] has downplayed & minimized. The youngest Child [redacted] has presented with unexplained heart murmurs some years ago that have now resurfaced in 2020.

Mr [redacted] is deathly terrified from his last experience of attempting to take his oldest son to a scheduled doctors appointments, due to the 2012 incident, when Ms [redacted] & her lawyer maliciously & falsely claimed attempted child abduction that caused Mr Roy extreme emotional & financial harm.

16 Ms [redacted] has refused numerous attempts (over the last 6 years) by Mr [redacted] to work out a parental access schedule that reflects the needs & ages of the Children. [redacted] needed more time to find a new lawyer that would represent h[redacted] years. (exhibit 9) & (exhibit 10)

SWORN (OR AFFIRMED) BEFORE ME)
at Kamloops)
British Columbia)
on 19 Feb 2020)
[redacted])
G. LUCIER)
A Commissioner for taking Affidavits)
within British Columbia)
A commissioner for taking affidavits for)
British Columbia)

[redacted]

[The following endorsement must be completed if required under Rule 10-4 (7) of the Supreme Court Family Rules.]

Endorsement of Interpreter *[if applicable]*



[redacted]

Date/Time: 21-Jan-2013 09:45 AM
Hearing Location: New Westminster Law Courts
Courtroom #: 210
Reason: Chambers Application

Adjudicator: Brown, N
Adjudicator Method of Appearing: Personal Appearance
Adjudicator Hearing Restrictions: Brown, N Seized
Actual Duration: 2:00
Exhibit Card:

[redacted]

Seq #	Document	Result Description	Issue Description	Issue Result Description
53	Notice of Application	The end or conclusion of planned appearances	1) CONTEMPT OF COURT 2) EXCLUSION OF THE	Order Made Order Made

[redacted]

Order
Orde

OR

ter Muir
urs
school
.
rnating

econd

[redacted]

CEISR035







[redacted]



[redacted]

2/19/2020



[redacted]



[redacted]

2) seven day block of time over Christmas holidays
3) five days over spring break
4) every second statutory long weekend which includes school pro D days of the period of time it extends (3 or 4 days)
5) Fathers Day weekend
6) my regular every second weekend access if I am available to drive the 4 1/2 hours to Vancouver which would usually be once every 4 or 6 weeks
7) liberal & generous access
8) doctors, dentists & counseling appointments

"April 25th is Parental Alienation Awareness Day"
May 31, 2017

[redacted]

https